

## CITY OF PLYMOUTH

**Subject:** School Keep Clear Markings at Montpelier Primary School Amendments – Objections.

**Committee:** Compton and Peverell Area Committee.

**Date:** 28 September 2009.

**Cabinet Member:** Cabinet Member for Transport – Councillor Kevin Wigans.

**CMT Member:** Assistant Director of Plymouth Transport and Highways.

**Author:** Peter Moffat

**Contact:** Tel: 01752 398037.  
email: peter.moffat@amey.co.uk.

**Ref:** TROSKC2009/PM/397464.

**Part:** 1.

---

### **Executive Summary:**

This report advises the Public and Ward Members of a School Keep Clear Marking (SKC), amendment outside of Montpelier School, and reviews comments received during the advertisement of the proposed Traffic Regulation Orders. **The minutes of the respective Area Committees and an appropriate recommendation made by Officers will be reported to the Cabinet Member for Transport, who will decide on the final scheme to be implemented.**

---

### **Implications for Medium Term Financial Plan and Resource Implications: Including finance, human, IT and land**

The costs of providing the appropriate Traffic Orders and construction works will be borne by the 2009-2010 Capital Programme.

---

### **Other Implications: e.g. Section 17 Community Safety, Health and Safety, Risk Management, Equalities Impact Assessment, etc.**

None

---

### **Recommendations & Reasons for recommended action:**

It is recommended that Members of the Area Committee:

1. Note that no objections/comments were received during the advertisement period; and
2. Recommend to the Cabinet Member for Transport that the **proposal as detailed in Appendix 1** i.e.

PLAN A – Montpelier Primary School, (i.e. an extension of the School Keep Clear) to **be implemented.**

Reasons for above recommended actions are as follows:

- a) To enable the Ward Members to be aware of the public's concerns;
  - b) To improve parking conditions outside schools and to allow the Council's Civil Enforcement Officers, (CEOs), i.e. parking attendants, to continue the enforcement of all parking restrictions.
-

**Alternative options considered and reasons for recommended action:**

Do nothing is an option but the SKC needs to be extended to keep the area clear on grounds of safety and cover the entry and egress arrangements into and out of the school.

---

**Background papers:**        None

---

**Sign off:** comment must be sought from those whose area of responsibility may be affected by the decision, as follows (insert initials of Finance and Legal reps, and of Heads of HR, AM, IT and Strat. Proc.):

Head of Fin		Head of Leg		Head of HR		Head of AM		Head of IT		Head of Strat Proc	
Originating SMT Member											

## **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

- 1.1 Funding for School Keep Clear Markings, (SKC), outside of schools has been allocated to the Current Capital Programme 2009-2010. The cost of the advertisement and signing etc, will be met from the LTP programme.
- 1.2 At present the Police enforce some of the SKC, (i.e. those that are not covered by a Traffic Regulation Order, (TRO)), and the Council's Civil Enforcement Officers, CEOs), enforce the SKC that are covered by a TRO, (those with a TRO are accompanied by a sign). This does lead to confusion between the public and the Police/CEOs.
- 1.3 To simplify matters it is considered necessary to cover all SKCs with the TRO which will enable the CEOs to enforce all the SKC, together with all the other parking restrictions in the City. To implement TROs, it is necessary to advertise the proposals in the first instance and report comments to the Area Committees.
- 1.4 Other necessary amendments to parking restrictions outside of schools, (i.e. Montpelier School) have been undertaken simultaneously.
- 1.5 At Montpelier School the SKC is already covered by a TRO but the School Keep Clear is being extended both sides of the build out and new vehicular access points.

## **2.0 TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER (TRO) ADVERTISEMENT**

- 2.1 The statutory advertisement to advise the public of the extension of the SKC was undertaken 31 July -21 August 2009.
- 2.2 Street notices were erected for the 3-week advert and a notice was placed in the Evening Herald on 31 July 2009 informing the general public of the proposals. Letters were forward to residents who live adjacent to the proposed School Keep Clear.
- 2.3 Ward Members of the Area Committee were forward copies of the above consultation letter at the commencement of the advertisement period. The Police were consulted and no objections returned.

## **3.0 SCHOOL KEEP CLEAR MARKINGS**

- 3.1 The recommendations will make amendment to the present length of the markings. This will involve revoking the existing SKC and then applying two new lengths of SKC to keep the area clear either side of the dropped kerb/build out will be present. Problems of parked vehicles in the gap between the SKCs will not occur as the gap will be shorter than the length of a undersized car. Pedestrians crossing over the build out will not cross the SKC marking.
- 3.2 It is planned that in the future all SKCs will be enforced by the Council's CEO.

## **4.0 COMMENTS FOR EACH SCHOOL**

- 4.1 **Montpelier School North Down Road** No letters of objection to the proposals were received. The proposals are recommended to be implemented as detailed in **Appendix 1 PLAN A**.

# APPENDIX 1 – PLAN A MONTPELIER SCHOOL NORTHDOWN ROAD

